



PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Olivier Boireau  
 For: INTEGRATED CIRCUIT PACKAGE AND PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD ARRANGEMENT  
 Serial No.: 10/065,016 Examiner: Loudes C. Cruz  
 Filed: September 10, 2002 Group Art Unit: 2827  
 Atty. Docket: 71522-2

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, to 703-746-4000.

  
Signature

Date: August 18, 2003

Andrea R. Wolters  
(type or print name of person certifying)

Commissioner for Patents  
 PO Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

COMMENTS ON THE REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The Examiner states that "the application as amended specifically recites a majority of contacts configured in a specific portion comprised in the chip package device itself, not a circuit board. This, in combination with all the specific language in the claims, makes up a device that is neither obvious nor anticipated by the prior art of record."

Applicant respectfully points out that the claims are not limited to a "chip package device", but rather are drawn to an integrated circuit package device. Moreover, no implication should be made about whether similar claims drawn to a circuit board are patentable from the Examiner's statement, or from Applicant's withdrawal of the claims. Applicant believes that the claims drawn to the circuit board are no less patentable than the allowed claims because nothing in the prior art of record discloses, teaches or suggests the claimed proportion of contact points in a circuit board. Further the claims are not patentably distinct. Nevertheless, Applicant has

AUG-18-2003 11:36

McGarry Bair PC

616 742 1010 P.03/03

Serial No. 09/683,755  
Filed: February 11, 2002  
Page 2 of 2

Examiner: Marcus Charles  
Group Art Unit: 3682

withdrawn the claims to the circuit board to solely expedite the issuance of a patent with the allowed claims and with the intent of pursuing the withdrawn claims in a divisional application.

Applicant also notes a misprint in the listing of claims filed with the Amendment and Response dated June 3, 2003. Claim 4 was inadvertently listed as cancelled and claim 13 was inadvertently listed as retained. Claim 4 should be retained and allowed and claim 13 should be cancelled. The allowed claims, as confirmed in the remarks section of the Amendment and Response are 1-4, 10, 12, 15-19, and 25-27.

Respectfully submitted,

Olivier Boireau

Dated: 18 August 2003

By: JB

Joel E. Bair, Reg. No. 33,356  
McGARRY BAIR PC  
171 Monroe Avenue, NW, Suite 600  
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503  
616-742-3500

G0102110